

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,558	06/17/2005	Yitzchak Hillman	30082	9264
1444 BROWDY AN	7590 08/08/2007 ID NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.		EXAMINER	
624 NINTH STREET, NW			EPPS FORD, JANET L	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/539,558	HILLMAN, YITZCHAK		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Janet L. Epps-Ford	1633		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .			
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims		•		
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 100-121 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 100-121 are subject to restriction and	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers		. •		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
		•			
Attachmen	· ·	,			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/539,558

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group (a), claim(s) 100-112, drawn to an article of manufacture and a method of treating a disease in a subject in need thereof, the method comprising providing to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of a compound being capable of decreasing an activity and/or level of an antimicrobial peptide (AMP) and/or AMP-like molecule, thereby treating the disease in the subject in need thereof, wherein said compound is a molecule capable of binding said AMP or AMP-like molecule, and wherein said molecule is an antibody or an antibody fragment.

Group (b)-(i), claims 100-101, 103-107, and 109-112 drawn to an article of manufacture and a method of treating a disease in a subject in need thereof, the method comprising providing to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of a compound being capable of decreasing an activity and/or level of an antimicrobial peptide (AMP) and/or AMP-like molecule, thereby treating the disease in the subject in need thereof, wherein said compound is a:

- (b) an enzyme capable of cleaving said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule;
- (c) an siRNA molecule capable of inducing degradation of an mRNA encoding said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule;
- (d) a DNAzyme capable of cleaving an mRNA or DNA encoding said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule;
- (e) an antisense, polynucleotide capable of hybridizing with an mRNA encoding said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule;
- (f) a ribozyme capable of cleaving an mRNA encoding said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule:

Application/Control Number: 10/539,558

Art Unit: 1633

Page 3

(g) a non-functional analogue of at least a functional portion of said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule:

- (h) a molecule capable of inhibiting activation or ligand binding of said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule; and
- (i) a triplex-forming oligonucleotide capable of hybridizing with a DNA encoding said AMP and/or AMP-like molecule.

Group (j), claims 113-121 drawn to a method of treating a disease in a subject in need thereof, comprising providing to the subject a therapeutically effective amount of an antimicrobial peptide (AMP) and/or AMP-like molecule, thereby treating the disease in the subject in need thereof.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups (a)-(j) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Invention groups (a)-(j) are drawn to patentably distinct method comprising the administration of patentably distinct therapeutic agents having distinct modes of operation as defined above in groups (a)-(j), and potentially having a plurality of distinct chemical structures, wherein each distinct structure requires a separate search and consideration of the prior art.
- 3. The multiple methods as set forth in groups (a)-(j) each comprise the use of a structurally and functionally distinct product, therefore the multiple products are considered to encompass multiple categories of invention as defined in 37 CFR § 475(b). Therefore, the inventions as defined in groups (a)-(j) are directed to multiple categories of invention and are therefore considered to lack unity of invention as per 37 CFR 1.475(c).
- § 1.475 Unity of invention before the International Searching Authority, the International Preliminary Examining Authority and during the national stage.
- (a) An international and a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.
- (b) An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

Application/Control Number: 10/539,558

Art Unit: 1633

(2) A product and process of use of said product; or

- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or

Page 4

- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.
- (c) If an application contains claims to more or less than one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b) of this section, unity of invention might not be present.
- (d) If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and § 1.476(c).
- 4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Claims 110, 116, and 120 recite multiple species of AMP and/or AMP-like molecules: beta-defensin-1, beta-definsin-2, and LL-37. The multiple species of AMP and/or AMP-like molecules are drawn to structurally distinct molecules comprising a distinct chemical structure, and requiring a separate search and consideration of the prior art. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The separate compounds are drawn to the use of multiple products and are considered to lack unity of invention since they claims are drawn to distinct categories of invention.

Claims 105, 111, 117, and 121 recite multiple species of disease: tumor, epithelial disease, skin disease, gastrointestinal disease, and an endothelial disease. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The multiple methods for the treatment of the multiple species of diseases recited in the instant claims are also considered to lack unity of invention since they comprise distinct methods, and therefore are considered to encompass multiple categories of invention.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. The following claim(s) are generic: 100-102, 106-108, 113-114, and 118 are generic.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1633

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1633

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Janet L. Epps-Ford whose telephone number is 571-

272-0757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10:00 AM through 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on 571-272-0739. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Janet L. Epps-Ford/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1633

JLE